THE National Citizen Survey[™]

Sevierville, TN

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey[™] (The NCS[™]) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Sevierville's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Sevierville's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Overall, ratings for each facet within each pillar were similar to the benchmark. Within the pillar of Community Characteristics, Economy ratings tended to be higher than the benchmark. Within Participation, most facets were rated similar to the benchmark; however, ratings for Mobility and Natural Environment were lower than the benchmark. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

	Comm	Community Characteristics			Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	5	45	1	1	43	1	2	26	5	
General	1	6	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	
Safety	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	3	0	
Mobility	0	7	0	1	7	0	0	1	2	
Natural Environment	0	3	0	0	5	1	0	0	2	
Built Environment	0	4	1	0	6	0	0	2	0	
Economy	4	4	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	
Recreation and Wellness	0	7	0	0	4	0	0	4	1	
Education and Enrichment	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	
Community Engagement	0	5	0	0	8	0	0	10	0	

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
Overall appearance ↔ ←		\leftrightarrow	81%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%	Recommend Sevierville	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%	Services provided by Sevierville	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%	Remain in Sevierville	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%
Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Services provided by the Federal Government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	47%	Contacted Sevierville employees	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	40%
Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%								
Place to live	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%								
Place to live Neighborhood Overall image	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%								
Overall image	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%								
Overall feeling of safety	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%	Police	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%	Crime prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%	Did NOT report a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%
Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	95%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	35%
				Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%				
				Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%				
				Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%				
				Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%				
Traffic flow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	34%	Traffic enforcement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	39%
Travel by car	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	47%	Street repair	1	\leftrightarrow	56%	Walked or biked instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	↓↓	33%
Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%	Street cleaning	↑ (\leftrightarrow	73%	Used public transportation instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	16%
Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%				
Overall ease travel	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%	Snow removal	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	70%				
Public parking	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	51%	Sidewalk maintenance	↑	↑ (71%				
Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	44%				
Paths and walking trails				Bus or transit services	↑	\leftrightarrow	51%				
Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%	Garbage collection	1	\leftrightarrow	90%	Recycled at home	Ļ	ĻĻ	46%
Air quality	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	61%	Made home more energy efficient	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	59%
Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Yard waste pick-up	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%				
				Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	70%				
				Open space	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%				
				Natural areas preservation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%				
New development in Sevierville	1	\leftrightarrow	73%	Sewer services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%
Affordable quality housing	↓	\leftrightarrow	36%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%	Did NOT observe a code violation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%
Housing options	Ļ	Ļ	36%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%				
Overall built environment	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Utility billing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%				
Housing options Overall built environment Public places	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%	Land use, planning and zoning	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	55%				
				Code enforcement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	50%				

Legend

↑↑ Much higher

←

↑ Higher

↔ Similar

↓ Lower

 $\downarrow\downarrow$ Much lower

* Not available

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%	Economic development	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Economy will have positive impact on income	\leftrightarrow	1	48%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	87%					Purchased goods or services in Sevierville	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%
	Employment opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑ 1	54%					Work in Sevierville	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%
	Place to visit	\leftrightarrow	↑ 1	88%								
	Cost of living	\leftrightarrow	↑ 1	63%								
Economy	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	↑ (\leftrightarrow	43%								
Duc	Place to work	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%								
ы	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%								
	Fitness opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	70%	City parks	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%	In very good to excellent health	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%
ness	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%	Used Sevierville recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	53%
lle	Health care	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Visited a City park	\leftrightarrow	\downarrow	72%
Recreation and Wellness	Food	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	70%	Health services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%
ation	Mental health care	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	49%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%
ie.	Health and wellness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%								
Rec	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%								
	K-12 education	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	\leftrightarrow	↑ (59%
Education and Enrichment	Cultural/arts/music activities	1	\leftrightarrow	53%	Special events	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%	Attended a City-sponsored event	Ť	\leftrightarrow	52%
Ш	Child care/preschool	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%								
ר and	Religious or spiritual events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%								
ţi	Adult education	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%								
Educa	Overall education and enrichment	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%	Sense of community	¢	\leftrightarrow	72%
	Opportunities to volunteer	↑	\leftrightarrow	79%	Overall direction	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%
	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%
	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%	Welcoming citizen involvement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	17%
	Neighborliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Confidence in City government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%	Volunteered	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	44%
nent					Acting in the best interest of Sevierville	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%	Participated in a club	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	27%
igager					Being honest	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	22%
Community Engagement					Treating all residents fairly	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%	Contacted Sevierville elected officials	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	16%
									Read or watched local news	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%
ō									Done a favor for a neighbor	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%

Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↔ Similar

↑ Higher

↓ Lower

 $\downarrow\downarrow$ Much lower

* Not available